

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/490,783	JOHNSON, RICHARD C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luke Gilligan	3626	

All Participants:

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(2) Alan Young.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOSEPH THOMAS
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative indicated that an RCE was submitted on June 8, 2005. After discussion, it appeared that the submission did not meet all of the requirements for a proper RCE. Therefore, the Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the application was considered abandoned but that a petition for revival could be filed. .